Filed 5/20/2019 1:05 PM Cathy Stuart District Clerk Victoria County, Texas By: Bobbi Ellinger

CAUSE	NO	9-05-84489-А
ROBERT PARKER	§ 8	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	8 8 8	
v.	§ § 8	VICTORIA COUNTY, TEXAS
EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY	§ §	
Defendant.	§ §	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, ROBERT PARKER, who files this, Plaintiff's Original Petition against Defendant, EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY, and, for cause of action, would respectfully show this Honorable Court the following:

I. DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff intends for discovery to be conducted under Level 3 of Rule 190 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. This case involves a first-party insurance policy dispute concerning damages attributable to Hurricane Harvey. This dispute involves complex legal issues originating from the TEXAS INSURANCE CODE, common law, and recent Texas case law. It will include intricate discovery regarding claims-handling practices, coverage decisions, adjustment/payment of claims, including Plaintiff's claim, as well as and the systematic approach by Evanston Insurance Company and its adjusters to the handling of catastrophic loss property damage claims arising from Hurricane Harvey. Plaintiff therefore, respectfully asks the Court to order that discovery be conditioned in accordance with a discovery control plan tailored to the particular circumstances of this suit.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, ROBERT PARKER, is a Texas resident who resides in Victoria County, Texas.
- 3. Defendant, Evanston Insurance Company ("Evanston") is a Foreign Surplus Lines insurance company that is licensed and authorized to engage in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. Evanston may be served with process by serving its designated service representative via certified mail. return receipt requested, c/o Secretary, Legal Department, Markel Service, Incorporate, Ten Parkway North, Deerfield, Illinois 60015.

II. JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this cause of action because the amount in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of the Court. Pursuant to Rule 47 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff states he seeks monetary relief in excess of \$100,000.00 and less than \$200,000.00. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend his petition during and/or after the discovery process.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Evanston because Evanston is a an insurance company licensed and authorized to do business in Texas and Plaintiff's causes of action arise out of Evanston's business activities in this state.
- 6. Venue is proper in Victoria County because the insured property is located in Victoria County and all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in Victoria County. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 15.032.

III. FACTS

7. Plaintiff owns the commercial property at 404 Stephenson Street in Victoria, Victoria County, Texas (the "Property"). Evanston sold, and Plaintiff paid for, a Texas

commercial property insurance policy (the "Policy") to protect and insure Plaintiff's property, structures, and other items applicable to the Property.

- 8. From August 25th through September 1, 2017, Hurricane Harvey swept across Texas causing widespread destruction and devastation due to extreme winds up to 130 miles an hour in Victoria County and surrounding areas. Beginning on or about August 26, 2017, Hurricane Harvey's massive wind field caused widespread destruction in and around Victoria County, and Plaintiff's Property, specifically.
- 9. Plaintiff's Property suffered extensive wind-related damage during Hurricane Harvey. Specifically, the Hurricane damaged the entire roof, multiple, skylights, and windows. The Property also sustained substantial interior water damage as a result of the wind damage to the roofing system.
- 10. Following Hurricane Harvey, Plaintiff promptly and timely reported his Property claim (the "Claim") with Evanston under the Policy and asked Evanston to cover the cost of repairs required to return the Property to its pre-loss condition, including, but not limited to, replacement of the roof and repair of the significant interior water damage to all interior surfaces and building systems.
- 11. Plaintiff is entitled to these benefits under the Evanston Policy as it specifically covered Plaintiff's Property for wind-related damage, and specifically, hurricane damage. All of the damage to the Property was covered under the express terms of the Policy.
- 12. Evanston assigned at least two adjusters to perform the investigation and initial inspection of the Property on its behalf.
- 13. Evanston's adjusters, however, because of inadequate training or improper instruction, failed to perform a reasonable and adequate investigation of Plaintiff's Claim. In

doing so, the adjusters either completely missed or simply ignored damages that were present at the time of their inspections and were clearly attributable to Hurricane Harvey's powerful winds.

- 14. The inadequacy of the adjusters' investigation and adjustment of Plaintiff's Claim is evidenced by the fact they neither adjusted for, nor included, the full scope of Plaintiff's roof damage. Plaintiff's roof sustained extensive wind damage to its entire surface and to the underlying structure/framing. Evidence of wind damage is readily apparent from even a casual view. The massive amount of roof damage allowed water to penetrate the Property's exterior in multiple locations and resulted directly in the substantial interior damage to Plaintiff's Property, which damage the adjusters also failed to document properly or sufficiently.
- 15. Although Plaintiff notified and, later, reminded Evanston numerous times of the significant widespread roof damage which caused major leaks throughout the interior of the Property, the adjusters failed to fully and adequately inspect the damaged areas of Plaintiff's Property, including the roof, among other items and areas. The adjusters' failure to record the full extent of the wind-damaged Property resulted in an improperly under-scoped and consequently undervalued estimate for repairs that the adjusters submitted to Evanston.
- 16. As an illustration—and certainly not intended as a complete listing of all of the errors and omissions in the adjusters' investigation and adjustment—the following are just some of the unfair and unreasonable low points from their "inspections" and estimates:
 - i. The adjusters' estimates greatly under-scoped the amount necessary to repair the roof to its pre-loss condition by failing to allocate amounts necessary to repair the following: metal roofing, skylights, closure strips, gable trim, ridge end caps, and ridge vents.
 - ii. The adjusters' estimates fail to add the cost of texturing drywall, protecting the floors, and detaching and resetting light fixtures in the office.
 - iii. The adjusters' estimates fail to identify any damage to windows and window framing.

17. Plaintiff contends that, upon information and belief, Evanston and its adjusters set out and overtly sought to under-scope, underpay, and ultimately minimize Plaintiff's Claim for covered damages. At minimum, Evanston ratified their adjusters' unreasonable and improper "adjustment" of the Claim, resulting in Plaintiff's Claim effectively being denied in part as well as undervalued and underpaid. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages resulting from Evanston's and its adjusters' wrongful acts and omissions as set forth above and further described herein.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

Breach of Contract against Evanston

18. An insurance policy is considered a contract under Texas law. Evanston failed to perform its contractual duties to adequately compensate Plaintiff in accordance the terms of the Policy that it wrote and sold to Plaintiff. Specifically, Evanston refused to pay the full proceeds of the Policy, although due demand was made for proceeds to be paid in an amount sufficient to cover the damaged property, and all conditions precedent to recovery upon the Policy had been carried out and accomplished by Plaintiff. Evanston's conduct constitutes a breach of the insurance contract between Evanston and Plaintiff.

Non-Compliance by Evanston with the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices

- 19. Evanston's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code §541.060(a). All violations under this provision are made actionable by Tex. Ins. Code §541.151.
- 20. Falsehoods and misrepresentations under Texas law may be communicated by actions as well as spoken word; therefore, deceptive conduct is equivalent to a verbal representation. Evanston's misrepresentations by means of deceptive conduct include, but are not

limited to: (1) failing to conduct a reasonable inspection and investigation of Plaintiff's damages; (2) stating—by way of their adjusters' estimates—that Plaintiff's damages were less extensive or severe than they actually were, resulting in the undervaluing of Plaintiff's damages; (3) using their adjusters' own statements and conclusions about the scope and degree of the damage as a pretext for effectively denying covered damages and/or underpaying damages; and (4) failing to provide an adequate explanation for the inadequate payment(s) Plaintiff in fact received.

- 21. Evanston's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of misrepresenting—by words and acts/omissions—to Plaintiff material facts relating to the coverage at issue, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. INS. CODE §541.060(1).
- 22. Evanston's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the Claim, even though its liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex. INS. CODE \$541.060(a)(2)(A).
- 23. Evanston failed to explain to Plaintiff the reasons for failing to include all covered damages in its evaluation and/or payment(s) on the Claim. Furthermore, Evanston did not communicate that future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire amount of Plaintiff's loss, nor did it provide any explanation for the failure to adequately settle Plaintiff's Claim. Evanston's conduct is a violation of the TEXAS INSURANCE CODE, UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(3).
- 24. Although promptly reported by Plaintiff to Evanston, Evanston did not properly inspect the Property and failed to account for and/or undervalued many of Plaintiff's damages,

both exterior and interior. Evanston's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of refusing to pay Plaintiff's full Claim without conducting a reasonable investigation, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance.

Tex. Ins. Code §541.060(7).

Non-Compliance by Evanston with the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims Act

- 25. Evanston's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the TEXAS INSURANCE CODE, PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS ACT. All violations made under this provision are made actionable by Tex. Ins. Code §542.060.
- 26. Evanston's failure—and thus continuing delay—to remit full payment of the amounts owed on Plaintiff's Claim following its receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, as described above, constitutes a non-prompt payment of the Claim. Tex. Ins. Code §542.058.

Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 27. Evanston's conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing that a Texas insurer owes to its policy holders on account of the disparity in bargaining power between an insurance company and its individual insureds with respect not only to the drafting of the contract of insurance itself, but also with respect to the handling and payment of insured claims.
- 28. Evanston's failure, as described above, to adequately and reasonably investigate and evaluate Plaintiff's Claim, despite the fact that at the very same time, Evanston knew, or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence, that its liability was reasonably clear, constitutes a breach of Evanston's duty of good faith and fair dealing to Plaintiff, which is both

non-delegable and continues to exist until the relationship between the parties is ultimately changed to that of judgement debtor and judgement creditor, respectively.

V. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

29. All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's claims for relief have been performed or have occurred and/or Evanston waived the same. This includes, but is not limited to, providing notice pursuant to Texas Insurance Code 542A and pre-litigation alternative dispute resolution, if any.

VI. DAMAGES

- 30. Plaintiff would show that all the acts as alleged herein, taken together or singularly, constitute the producing cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiff.
- 31. As previously mentioned, Plaintiff's covered losses have not been properly addressed or paid, which has prevented Plaintiff from making necessary repairs, thus causing further, consequential damage, to the Property while also causing undue hardship and a burden on Plaintiff. These damages and losses are a direct result of Defendants' mishandling of Plaintiff's Claim in violation of the terms of the Policy at issue and of the laws set forth above.
- 32. For breach of contract, Plaintiff is entitled to regain the benefit of his bargain with respect to his purchase of the Policy, which is the amount of his Claim, together with attorneys' fees under TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE & REMEDIES CODE Section 38.001.
- 33. For noncompliance with the TEXAS INSURANCE CODE, UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of the benefits that should have been paid pursuant to the Policy, court costs, interest, and attorneys' fees. Tex. Ins. CODE §541.152.

- 34. For noncompliance with the TEXAS INSURANCE CODE, PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS ACT, Plaintiff is entitled to interest on the amount of the Claim as damages at the rate determined under Tex. Ins. Code §542.060, together with reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees.
- 35. For breach of common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from Evanston's breach of its Duty, such as additional costs, diminution in value, economic hardship, additional losses resulting from nonpayment of the amount owed, and exemplary damages.
- 36. For the prosecution and collection of this Claim, Plaintiff has been compelled to engage the services of the attorneys and law firms whose names are subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiff's attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Texas Supreme Court.

VII. JURY DEMAND

37. Plaintiff requests that all causes of action alleged herein be tried before a jury consisting of citizens residing in Victoria County, Texas. Plaintiff hereby tenders the appropriate jury fee.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that upon trial hereof, said Plaintiff has and recovers such sums as would reasonably and justly compensate him in accordance with the rules of law and procedure, as to actual damages, and all exemplary damages as may be found. In addition, Plaintiff requests the award of attorneys' fees for the trial and any appeal of this cause, for all costs of Court on his behalf expended, for pre-judgment and

post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and for all such other and further relief, whether by law or at equity, to which he may show himself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAMS HART BOUNDAS EASTERBY, LLP

By: /s/ Sean H. McCarthy

Sean H. McCarthy
State Bar No. 24065706
P. Griffin Bunnell
State Bar No. 24080815
8441 Gulf Freeway, Ste 600
Houston, TX 77017
Telephone: 713-230-2200

Fax: 713-643-6226

Email: smccarthy@whlaw.com E-mail: gbunnell@whlaw.com

AND

THE COOK LAW FIRM, PLLC

Andrew C. Cook State Bar No. 24057481 8441 Gulf Freeway, Sixth Floor Houston, Texas 77017 Telephone: (713) 230-2366

Facsimile: (713) 643-6226

Email: acc@texinsurancelaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Case 6:19-cv-00054 Document 1-4 Filed on 06/17/19 in TXSD Page 11 of 17

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET (RES. 21)

Filed 5/20/2019 1:05 PM

Cathy Stuart District Clerk

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

_COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY); _

Victoria County, Texas

SIVLED ROBERT PARKER V EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY

__By:_Bobbi Ellinger

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co. In re. Mary Ann Jones, In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson).

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filled to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filled in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing.

1. Contact information for perso	n completing case information sheet	Names of parties in	case:	Person	or entity completing sheet is:
				XAttorn	ey for Plaintiff Petitioner
Name:	Email: Plaintiff(s) Petition		. □Tide t		Plaintif Petitioner V-D Agency
SEAN H. McCARTHY	smccarthy@whlaw.co	m ROBERT PARK	ER		
Address:	Telephone:	***************************************			
8441 Gulf Frwy Ste 600	713-230-2200			Addition	al Parties in Child Support Case.
City-State-Zip:	Eau.	Defendant(s) Respon	dent(s) Custodial Parent:		Parent:
•		Fax: EVANSTON IN			Property of the section of the secti
Houston Texas 77017	713-643-6226	COMPANY		Non-Custodial Parent	
Signature:	State Bar No.		Danier I Fush		
Alley	24065706		Presumed Father:		
		[Attach additional page as t	secessary to list all puries	}	
2. Indicate case type, or identity	the most important issue in the case Civil	select only 1):		Fan	ily Law
		744 M 4-457 W 445-1			Post-judgment Actions
Contract	Injury or Damage	Real Property	Marriage Re		(non-Title IV-D)
Debt: Contract ☐Consumer: DTPA	Assault Battery Construction	Eminent Domain Condemnation	☐Annulment ☐Declare Ma		☐ Enforcement ☐ Modification – Custody
Debt Contract	Defamation	Partition	Dixorce	-	Modification- Other
☐Fraud Misrepresentation		Outet Title	□ With Ch		Title IV-D
Other Debt/Contract:		Trespass to Try Title Other Property:	□No Child	lten	Enforcement Modification
Foreclosure	Medical	_Joiner Property.			☐Paternity ☐Reciprocals (UIFSA)
Home Equity Expedited	Other Professional				Support Order
☐Other Foreclosure ☐Franchise	Liability:	Related to Criminal			
Minsurance	Motor Vehicle Accident	Matters	Other Fan	nily Law	Parent-Child Relationship
Landford Tenant	Premises	Expunction	☐Enforce Fo	reign	Adoption/Adoption with
□Non-Competition		☐Judgment Nisi ☐Non-Disclosure	Judgment		Termination
☐Partnership ☐Other Contract:	CI, the care of the care	Seizure Forfeiture	☐ Habeas Cor ☐ Name Char		☐ Child Protection ☐ Child Support
		Writ of Habeas Corpus-	Protective (Order	Custody or Visitation
		Pre-indictment	Removal of		Gestational Parenting
	Other Injury or Damage:	_Other:	of Minority	<i>†</i>	Grandparent Access Parentage:Paternity
			□ Other		Termination of Parental
Employment	Other Ci	vil			Rights
☐Discrimination ·		Lawyer Discipline	1		Other Parent-Child:
Retaliation	Antitrust Unfair	Perpetuate Testimony			
☐ Termination		Securities Stock			
☐ Workers' Compensation ☐ Other Employment:		☐Tortious Interference ☐Other:			
	Intellectual Property				
T		D	1		
Tax [Tax Appraisal	Probate/Wills Intestate Administrati		Mental Health Guardianship A	idult	
Tax Delinquency	Dependent Administration		Guardianship Minor		
Other Tax	Independent Administration		Mental Health		
	Other Estate Proceedings	l	Other:		-
3. Indicate procedure or remedy.	if applicable (may select more than i	·):			
Appeal from Municipal or Just	ice Court Declaratory	Judgment	□Pre	judginent Ren	nedy
☐Arbitration-related ☐Attachment	Garnishment		Protective Order		
Bill of Review	☐ Interpleader ☐ License		☐Receiver ☐Sequestration		
☐Certioran	Mandamus		Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction		
☐Class Action	Post-judgment		Tumover		
4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case):					
Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief					
(X) Over \$100, 600 but not more than \$200,600					
Over \$200,000 but not more th	an SI,IKK),(KK)				
Over \$1,000,000					

CLERK OF THE COURT Cathy Stuart 115 N. Bridge, Room 330 Victoria, Texas 77901 ATTORNEY REQUESTING ISSUANCE Scan Hudson McCarthy 8441 Gulf Freeway, Ste. 600 Houston, Texas 77017

THE STATE OF TEXAS CITATION

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: "You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the Clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you."

TO: EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY, MAY BE SERVED BY SERVING ITS DESIGNATED SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE, C/O SECRETARY, LEGAL DEPARTMENT, MARKEL SERVICE, INCORPORATE, TEN PARKWAY NORTH, DEERFIELD, ILINOIS 60015,

You are commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the Plaintiff's Original Petition before 10 o'clock A.M. of the Monday next after the expiration of twenty days after the date of service hereof, before the Honorable 24th Judicial District Court of Victoria County, Texas, at the Courthouse of said County in Victoria, Texas.

Said Plaintiff's petition was filed in said Court, on the 20th day of May, 2019 in this case numbered 19-05-84489-A on the docket of said court, and styled,

ROBERT PARKER

VS.

EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY

The nature of Plaintiff's demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

Issued and given under my hand and seal of said Court at Victoria, Texas, this the 21st day of May, 2019.

TORIA CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

CATHY STUART District Clerk Victoria County, Texas

Criplet Ha

Deputy

Signed: 5/21/2019 11:10:23 AM

Rule 106: "--the citation shall be served by the officer delivering to each defendant, in person, a true copy of the citation with the date of delivery endorsed thereon and with a copy of the petition attached thereto."

Cause #19-05-84489-A	24th Judie	24th Judicial District Court			
ROBERT PARKER VS. EVANSTON INSU					
Address for service: EVANSTON INSUI	•				
DESIGNATED SERVICE REPRESENT MARKEL SERVICE, INCORPORATE,					
OFFICER'S OF	R AUTHORIZED PERSON'S RE	TURN			
Came to hand on the day of Coun	, 2019 at	o'clockM. and			
person, a true copy of this citation with the c	ity, Texas by delivering to each o	the within named defendants in			
copy of the petitioner's petition, the following		, together with the accompanying			
Name Date	Time Place, Cour	se and Distance from Courthouse			
ı	1 1				
And not executed as to the defendant(s)					
the diligence used in finding said defendant(
and the cause of failure to execute this proce					
can the information received as to the where					
FEES Serving \$, Sheriff			
		County, Texas			
COMPLETE IF YOU ARE A PERSON OTHER		Deputy E. OR CLERK OF THE COURT			
COMPLETE II TOO ARE ATERSON OTHER	MAN A SHERIFF, CONSTABLE	E, OR CLERK OF THE COURT			
In accordance with Rule 107: The officer or sign the return. The signature is not required sheriff, constable or the clerk of the court, the following statement:	d to be verified. If the return is si	gned by a person other than a			
"My name is	(First, Middle, Last), my o	date of birth is, and			
my address is		(Street, City, Zip).			
I DECLARE UNDER PERJURY THAT TH	IE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND	CORRECT.			
Executed in Co	ounty, State of	, on the day of			
, 2019.					
	Declarant/Authorized Pro	ocess Server			
	(ID # and Expiration of c	ertification)			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on 21st day of May, 2019 in Cause No. 19-05-84489-A, ROBERT PARKER VS. EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY in the 24th Judicial District Court of Victoria County, Texas there was mailed by United States Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested a true copy of the Citation and a copy of the Original Petition in said cause to:

EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY SECRETARY LEGAL DEPARTMENT MARKEL SERVICE INCORPORATE TEN PARKWAY NORTH

DEERFIELD IL 60015

I further certify that attached hereto is the return receipt for said document.

This the 28th day of May .2019.

CATHY STUART District Clerk Victoria County, Texas

and the same of the same			
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY		
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits.	A. Signature X. M. A. B. Received by (Printed Name) VM. Lines 7 200	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee ☐ C. Date of Delivery ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	
1. Article Addressed to: EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY SECRETARY LEGAL DEPARTMENT MARKEL SERVICE INCORPORATE TEN PARKWAY NORTH DEERFIELD, IL 60015	D. Is delivery address different from If YES enter delivery address but the second se	Commence of the Commence of th	
5/21/2019 12:14:24PM / 9.05_84489A	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted ☐ Delivery ☐ Return Receipt for	
9290 9969 0099 9728 0197 40 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 9214 7969 0099 9790 1628 0197 33	☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	Merchandise ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	

Filed 5/29/2019 2:31 PM Cathy Stuart District Clerk Victoria County, Texas By: Rhonda Stone

Lugenbuhl

LUGENBUHL, WHEATON, PECK, RANKIN & HUBBARD

A LAW CORPORATION

801 TRAVIS STREET. | SUITE 1800 | HOUSTON, TX 77002

TEL: 713.222.1990 | FAX: 713.222.1996

Valerie Musick

May 29, 2019

Victoria County District Clerk 115 N. Bridge Street, Room 330 Victoria, Texas 77901

RE: Cause No.: 19-05-84489-A; Robert Parker v. Evanston Insurance

Company: In the 24th Judicial District Court, Victoria County, Texas

Dear Clerk:

Please issue a certified copy of the entire state court file. Please mail to the address listed above.

Very truly yours,

Lugenbuhl, Wheaton, Peck, Rankin & Hubbard

Valerie Musick

Valerie Musick

/vm

CERTIFICATE OF TRUE COPY OF PAPER OF RECORD

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF VICTORIA

I, Cathy Stuart, Clerk of the District Court of Victoria County, Texas, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of all the original pleadings filed in Cause #19-05-84489-A as of MAY 20, 2019, styled

ROBERT PARKER

VS.

EVANSTON INSURANCE COMPANY

as the same appears on file in the District Court of Victoria County, Texas.

Given under my hand and seal of said Court, at office in Victoria, this the 31ST day of MAY, A.D., 2019.

CATHY STUART

CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT VICTORIA COUNTY, TEXAS

Denut

Filed 5/29/2019 2:31 PM Cathy Stuart District Clerk Victoria County, Texas By: Rhonda Stone

Lugenbuhl

LUGENBUHL, WHEATON, PECK, RANKIN & HUBBARD A LAW CORPORATION

801 TRAVIS STREET. | SUITE 1800 | HOUSTON, TX 77002

TEL: 713.222.1990 | FAX: 713.222.1996

Valerie Musick vmusick@lawla.com

May 29, 2019

Victoria County District Clerk 115 N. Bridge Street, Room 330 Victoria, Texas 77901

RE:

Cause No.: 19-05-84489-A; Robert Parker v. Evanston Insurance

Company; In the 24th Judicial District Court, Victoria County, Texas

Dear Clerk:

Please issue a certified copy of the entire state court file. Please mail to the address listed above.

Very truly yours,

Lugenbuhl, Wheaton, Peck, Rankin & Hubbard

Valerie Musick

Valerie Musick

/vm